

575 Madison Avenue
 New York, NY 10022-2585
 212.940.8800 tel
 www.kattenlaw.com

DAVID A. CRICHLow
 david.crichlow@kattenlaw.com
 212.940.8941 direct
 212.940.8776 fax

January 29, 2016

The Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin
 United States District Judge
 Daniel Patrick Moynihan
 United States Courthouse
 500 Pearl Street
 New York, N.Y. 10007-1312

Re: *UMB Bank, N.A. v. Caesars Entertainment Corp.*, 15-cv-4634
BOKF, N.A. v. Caesars Entertainment Corp., 15-cv-1561
MeehanCombs Global Credit Opportunities Master Fund, LP, et al. v. Caesars Entertainment Corp. et al., 14-cv-07091

Dear Judge Scheindlin:

Plaintiffs in the above-captioned actions write in response to the January 27, 2016 letter of Caesars Entertainment Corporation (“CEC”) [UMB ECF No. 85; BOKF No. 80; MeehanCombs ECF No. 94] (the “January 27 Letter”) asking the Court for a pre-motion conference for leave to move on an expedited basis to strike Plaintiffs’ expert rebuttal reports of Roberta S. Karmel and Michael W. Phillips.

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court deny CEC’s request and instead require the parties to brief the issues raised by CEC as part of an orderly *Daubert* motion process. CEC and Plaintiffs have agreed in principle to a schedule whereby *Daubert* motions would be filed by February 22, 2016, and responses would be filed by March 1, 2016 (there would be no replies); the parties are currently in the process of preparing a stipulation and proposed Order to this effect to be filed shortly for the Court’s review and approval.

Although now is not the time to address the substance of these or other *Daubert* challenges, the expert-rebuttal reports of Karmel and Phillips are properly “intended solely to contradict or rebut evidence on the same subject matter identified” by CEC as permitted by F.R.C.P. 26(a)(2)(D)(ii). The reports of CEC’s proposed experts Gadsden and Grien both purport to interpret the language of the relevant indentures, particularly with regard to the guarantee release provisions. The term “wholly owned subsidiary” used in the guarantee release provision of the 2006 Indenture incorporates the definition provided by Rule 1-02(z) of SEC Regulation S-X (the “Regulation”) and is included in Gadsden’s report. (Gadsden Rpt. fn. 18). Gadsden purports to interpret the guarantee release provisions of all the relevant indentures to support his opinion that they exist “for the purpose of facilitating CEOC’s financial reporting, and not for the purpose of providing credit support” (Gadsden Rpt. ¶ 43), a conclusion that is

Katten

Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP

The Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin
January 29, 2016
Page 2

echoed on similar grounds by Grien. (Grien Rpt. ¶ 43). Plaintiffs disagree with CEC's experts' conclusions and assert that Gadsden's and Grien's conclusions are based on, among other things, an incomplete consideration of the Regulation's definition. These conclusions, if left unchallenged, could ultimately confuse a jury if offered at trial. Under such circumstances, rebuttal reports are appropriate, and even necessary, to avoid incomplete and misleading expert testimony on this key topic.

CEC has not demonstrated how it would be prejudiced by briefing its objections to the Karmel and Philips reports as part of an overall *Daubert* briefing process, to be conducted after all proposed experts have been deposed. Depositions will enable the parties to know the full scope and substance of all proffered expert testimony and may materially affect the scope and substance of any *Daubert* challenges.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court deny CEC's request for a pre-motion conference and expedited briefing on the issues identified above.

Respectfully,

KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP

By: /s/ David A. Crichlow

David A. Crichlow, Esq.
Craig A. Barbarosh, Esq.
Karen B. Dine, Esq.
Rebecca Kinburn, Esq.

575 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Tel: (212) 940-8800
Fax: (212) 940-8776

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
in the UMB Action*

Katten

Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP

The Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin
January 29, 2016
Page 3

ARENT FOX LLP

By: /s/ Andrew I. Silfen
Andrew I. Silfen, Esq.
Michael S. Cryan, Esq.
Mark A. Angelov, Esq.

1675 Broadway
New York, NY 10019
Tel: (212) 484-3900
Fax: (212) 484-3990

-and-

Ralph A. Taylor, Jr., Esq.
(admitted pro hac vice)
Jackson D. Toof, Esq.
(admitted pro hac vice)
1717 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (202) 857-6000
Fax: (202) 857-6395

Attorneys for Plaintiff in the BOKF Action

cc: All Counsel of Record (via CM/ECF)

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

By: /s/ Clay J. Pierce
James H. Millar, Esq.
Kristin K. Going, Esq.
Clay J. Pierce, Esq.

1177 Avenue of the Americas, 41st Floor
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 248-3140
Fax: (212) 248-3141

Attorneys for Plaintiffs in the MeehanCombs Action